```
—U.S. v. Manafort—
                                                                1
 1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                         ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Criminal Action No.
 4
                                : 1:18-CR-83
 5
                versus
                                 : COURT CONFERENCE - REDACTED
 6
    PAUL J. MANAFORT, JR.,
                                : August 10, 2018
 7
                     Defendant. : Volume IX - A.M.
                -----x
 8
        TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL - REDACTED COURT CONFERENCE
 9
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE T.S. ELLIS, III
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
    APPEARANCES:
11
    FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
                               UZO ASONYE, AUSA
12
                               United States Attorney's Office
                               2100 Jamieson Avenue
13
                               Alexandria, VA 22314
                                    and
14
                               GREG ANDRES, SAUSA
                               BRANDON LANG VAN GRACK, SAUSA
                               Special Counsel's Office
15
                               U.S. Department of Justice
                               950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
16
                               Washington, D.C. 20530
17
    FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                               JAY ROHIT NANAVATI, ESQ.
18
                               BRIAN KETCHAM, ESQ.
                               Kostelanetz & Fink LLP
                               601 New Jersey Avenue NW
19
                               Suite 620
20
                               Washington, DC 20001
                                  and
                               THOMAS E. ZEHNLE, ESQ.
21
                               Law Office of Thomas E. Zehnle
22
                               601 New Jersey Avenue NW
                               Suite 620
23
                               Washington, DC 20001
                                  and
24
25
```

	U.S.	v. Manafort	
-			2
1	Appearances continued:	KEVIN DOWNING, ESQ.	
2		Law Office of Kevin Downing 601 New Jersey Avenue NW	
3		Suite 620 Washington, DC 20001	
4		and RICHARD WILLIAM WESTLING, ESQ.	
5		Epstein, Becker, & Green, PC	
6		1227 25th Street NW Washington, DC 20037	
7	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:	TONIA M. HARRIS, RPR	
8		U.S. District Court, Ninth Floor 401 Courthouse Square	
9		Alexandria, VA 22314	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
		Tonia M. Harris OCR-USDC/EDVA 703-646-14	38—

-U.S. v. Manafort-3 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Court proceedings commenced at 9:47 a.m.) 3 (Jury not present.) (Bench Conference.) 4 THE COURT: Two matters. Let me take up one matter 5 6 first. I just received a copy of this motion for a curative 7 instruction. I'll consider something perhaps in my general 8 9 instructions, but this motion is denied. 10 Now, with respect to the other information, I am 11 advised by the court security officer that a juror approached 12 him and asked if he would pass on to the Court a request that 13 jurors be instructed not to discuss or make comments about 14 this case, because he was -- heard from this juror that 15 another juror had said that Mr. Manafort has not presented any 16 evidence to prove his innocence. 17 Now, of course, I'll cover that in the instructions, 18 but this could be a serious matter. 19 What's the Government's view on what further steps I 20 should take, apart from an instruction at the end when I'll 21 emphasize that the defendant has no burden of proof to prove 22 his innocence? Do you propose I do anything further? 23 MR. ANDRES: Can I understand better the 24 circumstances? So a juror approached one of the court 25 security officers --

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                   4
              THE COURT: The court security officer, Mr. Flood.
 1
 2
              MR. ANDRES: -- and said that he wanted the jurors
 3
    to be instructed that the other jurors be told that they can't
 4
    discuss the case.
              THE COURT: No. I think the comment was he wanted
 5
    the jury to be told to refrain from comments about the case to
 6
 7
    each other, which is the same thing.
 8
              MR. ANDRES: Okay.
 9
              MR. WESTLING: If I may, because he had overheard
10
    another juror expressing the view that Mr. Manafort had not
11
    presented any evidence to demonstrate his innocence --
12
              THE COURT: That's correct. That's correct.
13
              MR. ANDRES: May I have a moment to confer, Your
14
    Honor?
15
              THE COURT: You may.
16
              MR. ZEHNLE: May we, Your Honor?
17
              THE COURT: You may do the same.
18
              MR. ZEHNLE: Thank you.
19
              (Discussion off the record.)
20
              THE COURT: Let me have counsel back for a moment,
21
    please. I'll let you confer in a moment.
22
              I think what was reported to me was that the juror
23
    said to the court security officer that he overheard another
24
    juror say that the defendant has not presented any evidence as
25
    of yet and he was unimpressed. I think that's the essence of
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                   5
 1
    what he was told. I don't think I said he wasn't impressed
 2
    before. But the juror said --
 3
              MR. WESTLING: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: -- a comment, which may well have been
 4
    heard by other jurors.
 5
              He said the defendant has not presented any evidence
 6
 7
    of his innocence and went on to say that he was unimpressed.
              All right. You need to confer?
 8
 9
              MR. ANDRES: Judge, we'll be satisfied if Your Honor
10
    is going to give the instructions that the defendant doesn't
    have any burden.
11
12
              THE COURT: At the end of the case?
13
              MR. ANDRES: Sure.
              MR. WESTLING: We would like to confer, Your Honor,
14
15
    if that's okay.
16
              THE COURT: Yes, you may.
17
               (A pause in the proceedings.)
18
              THE COURT: And you-all may return to your seats.
19
               (Open court.)
20
              I'm going to take a five-minute recess. Court
    stands in recess for five minutes.
21
22
               (Recess.)
23
               (Court resumes at 10:02 a.m. Jury not present.)
24
              THE COURT: Counsel, come to the bench.
25
               (Bench Conference.)
```

-U.S. v. Manafort-

THE COURT: All right. I have disclosed to counsel here at the bench a request made by one juror to the court security officer that the Court admonish the jurors not to discuss the case, because this juror who reported it also reported that a juror had made a comment that she was — that the defendant had not presented any evidence and she was unimpressed. The inference was that she had made up her mind, perhaps. Perhaps not. We don't know.

Now, of course, one thing I can do is simply rely on the curative instruction at the end where I tell jurors.

Another thing I can do is to voir dire the person who made the report, have that person identify who made the comment, and then voir dire the person who made the comment.

Now, voir diring the person who made the report is easy. Voir diring the person who is reported to have made this comment is more delicate because I will have to ask whether they've made up their mind and what did they mean by that comment and so forth.

And then I ask the Government first whether -- what they would propose to do, and I think the Government said, "We want to talk about it."

And I -- and then you-all asked for an opportunity to confer, which I did.

So let me return to the Government. What's the Government's view on what ought to occur now given this report

-U.S. v. Manafort— 7 1 from the court security officer? 2 MR. ANDRES: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 The Government would request that the Court provide an instruction now, as opposed to at the end of the case, 4 which covers the issues that you mentioned that no one should 5 have made up their mind. They shouldn't discuss it by way of 6 7 types of instructions that Your Honor has given over the course of the trial. 8 9 So we would ask that you do that now and we think that would be sufficient. 10 11 THE COURT: What's the defendant's proposal? 12 MR. WESTLING: Your Honor, we would ask that the 13 Court make an inquiry by voir diring both the reporting juror 14 and the juror that's involved in the alleged conduct. We 15 would note that every day the Court has asked the jury whether 16 they are doing anything that is inconsistent with the 17 instructions the Court has given every day. 18 This clearly is crossing the line if it, in fact, 19 happened. It suggests someone who has left beside -- behind 20 the presumption of innocence the defendant is entitled to 21 until the evidence is all in. 22 So we think it's appropriate for the Court to 23 inquire. 24 THE COURT: Well, I don't have any doubt that it's 25 appropriate. The question is whether it's necessary.

-U.S. v. Manafort

The Government says it isn't necessary, that the instruction at the end is sufficient.

And are you saying that that isn't sufficient? We really don't have enough information?

MR. WESTLING: We are saying that, Your Honor. We would further note that what is also missing from the information we have is whether that was a statement made to other jurors, how many other jurors. We just can't have any sense of what the taint may be without further inquiry.

THE COURT: Well, I'm certainly not eager to make any inquiry of jurors, but I think in the circumstances it's appropriate.

What I propose to do is I will have the juror who is identified, who made the request of the court security officer, come forward and I will elicit from that juror whether he or she made that request of the court security officer and that she did or he did overhear this remark.

I will ask who that other person was who made the remark and I will ask this juror whether they heard the remark and how many people heard it.

And then, if necessary, which it may well be, I will have the second juror who made the remark come forward. And I will ask that juror, he or she, whether she made the remark.

And if she did, I will confirm that she hasn't or he hasn't made up his or her mind and that is prepared to hear the case

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                   9
 1
    with an open mind.
 2
              And in any event, I probably will instruct the jury
 3
    that they need to be cautious about making comments on the
    evidence before they retire and deliberate.
 4
 5
              So that I will do no matter what we do. I think
    what the defendant is entitled to is a more complete set of
 6
 7
    facts because they may request that this particular juror be
    excused. And we'll see. So that's what I intend to do.
 8
 9
              Any legal objection to it on behalf of the
10
    Government?
11
              MR. ANDRES: No, Your Honor.
12
              MR. WESTLING: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Now, I also intend to do it at the bench
13
14
    here, and I do that for obvious reasons. I will point out,
15
    however, that this transcript will ultimately be in the public
    record so the newspapers will have it, and there's no reason
16
17
    why they shouldn't. But I don't want to create pandemonium in
18
    the media today.
19
              Any problem with that?
20
              MR. ANDRES: I just want to understand one thing,
21
    Judge.
22
              The juror that will come in, we'll all know that
23
    person's number. Is the person's name, is that public? I'm
    not familiar --
2.4
25
              THE COURT: No, I'm not going to make the name
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  10
 1
    public at all.
 2
              MR. ANDRES: And it's not otherwise public, it's
 3
    never been public throughout the process?
 4
              THE COURT: Not to my knowledge. But there -- you
    were given a list of jurors. I do not, at this second, know
 5
 6
    precisely how that list was handled before I determined that
 7
    we would proceed on the basis of numbers and not names.
 8
              So I can't say for certain that there isn't somebody
9
    that doesn't have a list. I have a list.
10
              But, Ms. Pham, I don't think the list is at all
11
    public.
12
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: I don't think so, but I would
13
    have to check with the jury clerk.
14
              THE COURT: That's the best answer.
15
              MR. ANDRES: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Anything further?
16
17
              MR. WESTLING: No, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Mr. Flood.
19
               (Court security officer approaches the bench.)
20
              MR. WESTLING: Your Honor, if we could raise one
21
    other issue. Obviously in the interest of not wanting this to
22
    be a bigger issue than it needs to be and to allow Court's
23
    inquiry, I'm a little concerned about the process taking place
24
    in front of the gallery of the courtroom with jurors being
25
    brought in and the optics that may create both for the juror
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  11
 1
    and for everyone else.
 2
              THE COURT: Well, that's understandable.
 3
              I prefer not to do it anywhere else but here.
              MR. WESTLING: Understood.
 4
              THE COURT: I do have one other option. I can
 5
 6
    recess, and we can do it in a small library I have here.
 7
    can do it that way. Any objection?
 8
              MR. ANDRES: No, Your Honor.
 9
              MR. WESTLING: We would prefer that.
              THE COURT: We will do that. It violates one of my
10
11
    rules, but that's what happens to rules when it's appropriate.
12
              So we'll do it that way.
13
              MR. WESTLING: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: So I'll recess the Court, and then
15
    Mr. Flood will bring you in -- we'll go to the library here.
    And following that, we'll get the juror who made the request
16
17
    of you, I want him or her brought in. Remember, only use
18
    numbers.
19
              THE CSO: Okay.
20
              THE COURT: And then we may have more for you.
21
              THE CSO: During the recess, so I'm clear, the Court
22
    says this is not being observed --
23
              THE COURT: This is the juror who came to you.
24
              THE CSO: Yes.
25
              THE COURT: And asked you to ask the Court, as I
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  12
 1
    recall, to give an instruction that jurors should not make
 2
    comments about the case during a recess.
 3
              Is that right?
 4
              THE CSO: In general, yes. Back there during the --
 5
              THE COURT: Back, right.
 6
              THE CSO: Correct.
 7
              THE COURT: And then this person who made the
 8
    request made the request or he made the request because a
9
    comment had been made by another juror, who you don't know --
10
              THE CSO: Correct.
11
              THE COURT: -- another juror that the defendant had
12
    presented no evidence to show his innocence and he or she was
13
    unimpressed, therefore --
14
              THE CSO: In general, yes.
15
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: You don't need to clear the
16
    courtroom.
17
              THE CSO: During the recess when we bring that juror
    back here --
18
19
              THE COURT: Another logistical problem.
20
              THE CSO: -- out of the view of the gallery.
21
              THE LAW CLERK: If we use the judge's conference
22
    room, we can bring --
23
              THE COURT: If we do what?
24
              THE LAW CLERK: If we use the judge's conference
    room in this chambers, we can bring the juror through the side
25
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  13
 1
    door.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. That's a good suggestion.
 3
              My permanent law clerk has suggested that we use the
    conference room on this side, the judge's conference room.
 4
    And so we can do that.
 5
 6
               (Discussion off the record.)
 7
              THE COURT: So to recapitulate, I will take a
 8
    recess. It doesn't matter what people do in the courtroom.
9
    And then -- well, how am I going to get across?
10
              THE LAW CLERK: Recess to that door.
11
              THE COURT: I'll recess through that door as well.
12
    That's a good idea. And we'll go to a conference room.
13
    a large conference room. And then once we're all in there,
    Mr. Flood, I will ask you to go and collect the juror and
14
15
    bring that juror into the conference room.
16
              Can you do that without passing through the
17
    courtroom?
18
              THE CSO: Absolutely. I can take her through the
19
    jury break room and come back in that way.
20
              THE COURT: All right. And that's a single person,
21
    and you are going to do it all by number.
22
              THE CSO: Yes.
23
              THE COURT: And then we will see from -- that person
24
    will identify the juror who made the comment through this
25
    juror. We'll also identify through the first juror that the
```

-U.S. v. Manafort-14 1 comment was made, how many -- in what context was it made, how 2 many people were around and may have heard it. 3 And then we'll have the other person brought in. 4 And I will -- the chief -- the point there is I want to be -ask her questions or him questions that -- to establish 5 whether or not he has made up his mind or still has an open 6 7 mind or has violated any of the Court's orders in that regard. 8 And I will probably give you each an opportunity to 9 suggest to me if I have not asked the question with sufficient 10 precision or scope so as to get the information that you-all 11 need to make your objections or suggestions. All right? 12 We'll now go back on the record. You can stay here. 13 I'll recess. I'll go out that way and we'll proceed. 14 MR. WESTLING: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 (Bench conference ends.) 16 (Open court.) 17 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to recess this 18 matter to consider an issue. And I want to remind the ladies 19 and gentlemen here in the courtroom that when I recess, please 20 do not come forward and try to glimpse what's on counsel's 21 table. That's happened. I have had that reported to me. 22 Don't do that. I won't permit it. If it happens, and it's 23 confirmed, you'll be expelled from the courtroom. 24 You cannot look and see what's on counsel's tables 25 without their permission. Of course, if they want to show you

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  15
 1
    something, that's their situation. All right.
 2
              The Court stands in recess.
 3
              It will be at least 15 minutes. I'll have the court
 4
    security officer advise you if it should be longer.
 5
               (Recess.)
               (Jury conference held at 10:17 a.m.)
 6
 7
    (Present: Judge, Law Clerk, Deputy Clerk, CSO, Court Reporter
    and attorneys - Andres, Asonye, Van Grack, Downing, Zehnle,
 8
9
    and Westling.)
10
              THE COURT: Now, I told you that if you -- I would
11
    give you an opportunity to tell me if there was something more
12
    you thought I should ask. I may or may not ask it, but I do
13
    want to give you the opportunity to tell me.
14
              For that, I will come down there and do it from down
15
    there, because I don't want this person who's seated up
16
    here -- and I don't want to shuffle that person in and out.
17
              So let me repeat what I have in mind in the first
18
    place. I have in mind, having the juror come in. The juror
19
    will be identified only by number. But, of course, you have
20
    the numbers and the names. I've asked the deputy clerk to
21
    confirm that the list has been kept confidential and she has
22
    confirmed that. So these names are not out there. And when
23
    the person is brought in -- I'm not going to place the person
24
    under oath -- I'm simply going to ask them some questions.
25
    I'm going to ask this first person a question telling them
```

-U.S. v. Manafort-16 1 that I understand that you have asked the court security 2 officer to request that the Court instruct the jury -- jurors 3 not to make comments about the case during recesses or at other times. 4 And that you told the court security officer that 5 you were making that request because one juror had made the 6 7 remark that she was unimpressed or that the defendant has not 8 presented any evidence and she was unimpressed. And I think I have that about right, Mr. Flood? THE CSO: Correct. 10 11 THE COURT: And then I'll ask her: Is that what you 12 heard? Was it overheard? Where did it happen? To get a sense of how broad was the audience of this statement. 13 14 And then, I'm not going to ask this person anything 15 about what the statement meant to this person. I'd prefer to start on that inquiry with the other person. But I think 16 17 that's all I intend to ask the first person. 18 Any suggestions or requests? 19 MR. ASONYE: One moment, Your Honor. 20 (A pause in the proceeding.) 21 MR. ASONYE: Your Honor, the Government had a little 22 bit of a concern about sort of leading the witness as to 23 what --24 THE COURT: I'm going to ask the questions my way. I'm sorry that you have that concern that I may lead them, but 25

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 17
 1
    I'm perfectly capable of getting the information that I think
 2
    should be gotten. And leading is not going to be a problem.
 3
    But, thank you.
 4
              All right.
              MR. WESTLING: Your Honor, just for the record, I
 5
    wanted to note that your court clerk did let us know Mr.
 6
 7
    Manafort could be present here.
 8
              THE COURT: Absolutely.
 9
              MR. WESTLING: And we conferred with him and we
    wanted to make on the record his waiver.
10
11
              THE COURT: All right. He has the right to be
12
    present at all proceedings against him, including bench
    conference, but he can waive. And I understand he's waived.
13
              MR. WESTLING: That's correct, Your Honor. Thank
14
15
    you.
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. -- well, let me think a
16
17
    minute here. Yes, bring in the jury who made the report to
18
    you, please.
19
              (A pause in the proceedings.)
20
              THE COURT: Mr. Asonye, when you're appointed in the
21
    future, you can ask the questions you want to ask.
22
              MR. ASONYE: I will -- I have no doubt I will never
23
    sit in your position, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: I don't have that doubt. I do have no
    doubt that I will not be in this vale of tears. I will be
25
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  18
 1
    elsewhere or nowhere.
 2
              My mother said, she was 93 when she died, she told
 3
    me, she said, "You know there's no empirical evidence at all
    of an afterlife." But she said to me, "I'm prepared to be
 4
    pleasantly surprised."
 5
 6
               (Juror present.)
 7
              THE COURT: Yes, ma'am, would you come forward,
 8
    please and have a seat here?
 9
              This is number?
10
              THE CSO:
              THE COURT: Right here, if you would, please. Yes,
11
12
    ma'am. We're not going to use your name. We're going to
    continue with that.
13
14
              THE JUROR: Okay.
15
              THE COURT: The reason that I've asked you to --
16
    here, let me sit down too.
17
              The reason I've asked you to come out is I have just
18
    a couple of questions relating to a report you made to the
19
    court security officer.
20
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
21
              THE COURT: I believe you asked the court security
22
    officer to ask me to remind the jurors not to discuss this
23
    case.
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
24
25
              THE COURT: And that -- and I think you made a
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 19
 1
    statement that he remembers -- if it's wrong, you tell me --
 2
    he remembers that you said something like: "A juror said that
 3
    the defendant hadn't presented any evidence and I'm
    unimpressed."
 4
 5
              THE JUROR: Not exactly.
              THE COURT: Tell me exactly.
 6
 7
              THE JUROR: What was said was, "I don't believe that
 8
    the defense has --" let me word this properly "not has much of
9
    a case, but that they don't have much to present. Or, to
    refute what's already occurred."
10
11
              Does that make sense?
12
              THE COURT: So what did you understand this other
13
    juror to say? And I am going to ask you to identify, by
14
    number, this other person.
15
              THE JUROR: Okay. Basically my understanding was
    that she had essentially made up her mind regarding the case
16
17
    based on the information presented to her thus far. And I did
18
    remind her that we have not heard from -- what she said was,
19
    "They didn't -- they couldn't have much to present."
20
              And I reminded her that we have not heard from
    everyone in this case. That we need, of course, keep an open
21
22
    mind. It is our responsibility as a jury to hear everything
23
    and then reach our own conclusion.
24
              THE COURT: Have you heard this juror or any other
25
    juror make similar statements?
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 20
 1
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 2
              THE COURT: You have?
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 3
 4
              THE COURT: More than one?
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir. Not necessarily for one side
 5
    or the other, but just talking too much about the case. Let's
 6
 7
    put it that way.
              THE COURT: All right. Who, by number, if you know,
 8
9
    if you know by name -- everyone here knows the name -- but
    we're proceeding publically by number.
10
11
              Who was the juror you overheard make the remark that
12
    caused you to report or to ask the court security officer to
13
    have the court reinstruct the jury?
14
              THE JUROR: I believe it's
15
              THE COURT:
                         [sic.]
16
              THE JUROR: And we were alone in the room.
17
    all was said and done, it was just she and I at that
18
    particular point.
19
              THE COURT: I see. So no one else heard that
20
    particular --
21
              THE JUROR: Not that particular remark.
22
              THE COURT: Have other jurors -- have other jurors
23
    made similar remarks?
24
              THE JUROR: Similar, but not as -- not as clear as
    that was made to me.
25
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 21
 1
              THE COURT: By "clear," what do you mean?
 2
              THE JUROR: She made it very clear that she didn't
 3
    feel that the defense really had anything to offer -- upcoming
    in -- for the rest of the trial. Like I said, I reminded her
 4
    that, "Well, we haven't heard from --" I said, "Of course,
 5
 6
    they have a lot to present. This is what a trial is all
 7
    about. And it's our responsibility to listen to everybody and
    then when we're in deliberations, then we decide to form our
 8
9
    judgments and use all the information given to us."
10
              THE COURT: All right. Do I need to come down
11
    there?
12
              MR. WESTLING: Yes, Your Honor.
              (Juror not present.)
13
              (Discussion between the Court and counsel.)
14
15
              MR. WESTLING: Your Honor, I think our concern is
16
    just that she --
17
              Our concern is that she's indicated that this is not
    an isolated issue with this one juror and we'd like the Court
18
19
    to inquire further about those other conversations.
20
              THE COURT: All right. Any problem with that?
21
              MR. ANDRES: No, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: I'll do it.
23
              (Open conference.)
24
              (Juror present.)
25
              THE COURT: Just a bit more questioning. I dislike
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 22
 1
    having to refer to you as [sic].
 2
              THE JUROR: That's all right.
 3
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Judge.
 4
              THE COURT:
 5
              THE JUROR: Yes, I'm
 6
              THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Pham. What will I do
 7
    without her? I know I would not do well.
              I'm interested in whether there were other remarks
 8
9
    of similar nature at any time during the course of the case.
10
              THE JUROR: They're talking. I mean they're just
    making general remarks of, I mean -- I don't feel that anybody
11
12
    is taking sides per se. Someone mentioned, you know, well,
13
    and this was a couple of days ago of how they thought that
    the -- the defense was weak. And, of course, I said, "Well,
14
15
    you really can't say things like that. I don't think that's
    appropriate." So -- but it's small things like that. And --
16
17
              THE COURT: All right.
              THE JUROR:
18
                         But --
              THE COURT: So there have been other comments --
19
20
              THE JUROR: Yes.
              THE COURT: -- about the evidence in the case?
21
22
              THE JUROR: Yes.
23
              THE COURT: And over what period of time have you
24
    heard these comments?
25
              The case has now gone on for seven, eight, nine
```

```
—U.S. v. Manafort—
                                                                 23
 1
    days, is that right? Let me think. Four -- eight days.
 2
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Today is the ninth day.
 3
              THE COURT: Ninth day.
              THE JUROR: I would say probably the last four or
 4
 5
    five days.
 6
              THE COURT: Has -- have the remarks come from one or
 7
    more jurors?
 8
              THE JUROR: More.
 9
              THE COURT: How many more?
              THE JUROR: I don't know. Probably like three
10
11
    total.
12
              THE COURT: All right.
              THE JUROR: I just can't tell you who they are,
13
    because I'm --
14
15
              THE COURT: You're working with numbers too.
              THE JUROR: I'm working with numbers too.
16
17
              THE COURT: Can you identify by number or name the
    person who made the comment that you've told me about today?
18
19
              THE JUROR: Yes. Her name is
20
              THE COURT: Do you know a number? You don't know
    the number.
21
22
              THE JUROR:
                          , I believe.
23
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: You want me to confirm?
24
              THE COURT: Yes, confirm, please.
25
              THE JUROR: I did write it down this morning. I
```

-U.S. v. Manafort-24 1 believe she's 2 THE COURT: Thank you. She's just gone to confirm 3 that. 4 THE JUROR: And I just -- you know the other ones were just kind of like, you know, you shut them down and you 5 say to people, "We're not discussing the case. Do not do 6 7 this. We're not doing this." And pretty much they listen. They're -- they are okay. They're fine. They don't pursue 8 9 it. 10 And yesterday we had -- when we were in -- and 11 somebody brought up something political. And I said, "We're 12 not doing this." And they said, "Well, this is not a 13 political case." I said, "It doesn't matter. Just stop, 14 because I said so. Because, we're not doing this. It's not 15 appropriate." 16 But yesterday, I felt that crossed the line. The 17 others, I felt were at least, you know, they hadn't made a 18 judgment per se. But this -- this I felt like someone had 19 made a judgment. And whether that's true or not, I don't 20 know. But I felt compelled enough to speak with Mr. Flood 21 about it, because I felt that -- that was inappropriate. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much. You --23 Mr. Flood, we need to exchange people. You may return to the 24 jury room. But actually if you would just -- is there another 25 area over here perhaps?

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                25
              THE CSO: If I bring the other jurors in, she'll be
 1
 2
    seen. There's some empty rooms up here.
 3
              THE COURT: I don't think we need to ask any further
 4
    questions. So this juror, good. Well, they all
    know the names. But the names are not known outside of
 5
    anybody in this room. I just felt more comfortable addressing
 6
 7
    you by your name.
 8
              You may return now. Mr. Flood will take you to the
9
    jury room.
              THE JUROR: Can I just say one thing?
10
11
              THE COURT: Yes, you can.
12
              THE JUROR: I just felt it was my duty and my
13
    responsibility as a juror.
14
              THE COURT: I think you have done your duty.
15
              THE JUROR: Okay. I came to this jury with an open
    mind and I intended to keep it and I -- and I want everybody
16
17
    else to have the same truth and justice about them and to hear
    everything and to -- we're suppose to just -- that's what
18
19
    we're suppose to do.
20
              THE COURT: And, yes, and that's what you were
21
    instructed to do. And it's apparent to me that you have
22
    followed the instruction. Thank you. You may return to the
23
    jury room. Thank you.
24
              Mr. Flood, would you take her back and bring in
25
    here, please, ?
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 26
 1
              THE CSO: Okay. Thank you.
 2
              (Juror was dismissed.)
 3
              THE COURT: Just a moment, Mr. Flood. After you
 4
    take her back, come back in.
 5
              Now --
              (Court reporter clarification. )
 6
 7
              THE COURT: -- let me tell you what I intend to ask
    this juror. I intend to ask this juror, I think it's fair to
 8
 9
    tell her what -- that -- I think I'll begin by -- well, just a
10
    moment.
11
              (A pause in the proceedings.)
12
              THE COURT: I think I will begin by asking this
13
    juror whether she has -- I think I'll ask her directly whether
14
    she's made this statement that's been reported. If she says,
15
    yes, I will then ask her further questions to ascertain
16
    whether she has made up her mind about the case, whether she
17
    remains -- has an open mind, and I will ask her whether she
18
    recalls that the defendant has the presumption of innocence
19
    and can she give effect, which means that she is not to decide
20
    the case until she's heard all of the evidence in the case.
21
    And see what she says. I think there's a possibility that she
22
    may not have meant what she said or intended what she said.
23
    There's a possibility she may deny that she said it. And
24
    we'll just have to see.
25
              All right. Bring in.
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 27
 1
              MR. DOWNING: Your Honor, one suggestion. If you
 2
    get to the point where she admits to making the statement, ask
 3
    her why it was made. I think that's relatively important for
 4
    us to understand.
 5
              THE COURT: Yes, of course, I would ask that.
 6
              MR. DOWNING: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
              MR. ANDRES: Thank you, Your Honor. And, Your
 8
    Honor, I appreciate what Your Honor has just said. I think it
9
    does make sense to do it in a way Your Honor suggested that
10
    we're not exposing the juror here as the person that's
11
    reported that to the Court. I think that's what Your Honor
12
    had in mind. And we would agree with that.
13
              THE COURT: All right. I think we're of the same
14
    mind. But tell me more explicitly, Mr. Andres, what you mean.
15
              MR. ANDRES: What I mean is that I don't think it's
16
    appropriate that you say to this juror, "Juror No. I has told
    me. . ."
17
              THE COURT: Of course not.
18
19
              MR. ANDRES: Okay. Thank you.
20
              THE COURT: But tell me how you would suggest that
21
    it be put to this person.
22
              MR. ANDRES: Right. I think what Your Honor said
23
    was right. Have you made any comments? And if you have, have
24
    you made a decision? Are you able to follow --
25
              THE COURT: Yes. If I ask her whether she's made
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 28
    any comments about the case, I can predict with certainty --
 1
 2
    certain as the Pope is Catholic, the next one -- that she's
    going to say, "No."
 3
 4
              At that point I'm going to say, well, it's --
    there's some information, been provided to the Court, that you
 5
    made a statement along the following lines, is that true?
 6
 7
              And if she says, "no," that presents us all with a
    conundrum. If she says, "yes," then I'll explore what she
 8
9
    meant by it.
              MR. ANDRES: That's perfectly acceptable to the
10
11
    Government, Judge. I think we're on the same page. What I
12
    was trying to avoid --
13
              THE COURT: Setting one juror against another. I
14
    quite agree with you.
15
              MR. ANDRES: Thank you.
              THE COURT: Whether I succeed in that or not -- well
16
    I'll try.
17
18
              THE CSO: For the record, when I brought Juror No.
19
    back, when she went back into the jury room, she said, "Now,
20
    they're all going to know it's me."
              THE COURT: That's right. There are certain things
21
22
    we can't help and that's one of them. It probably could have
23
    been done another way, but we didn't. And I probably could
24
    have recessed, including recessing the jurors, and done it
    in -- in a less -- a more unobtrusive way. But bring in,
25
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 29
 1
    please.
 2
              THE CSO: Okay.
 3
              THE COURT: The perfect cannot be the enemy of the
 4
    acceptable, reasonable.
 5
              MR. ANDRES: I think we've proved that over and
 6
    over. I mean "we" the Government.
 7
              THE COURT: There was a moment there, Mr. Andres,
 8
    where I didn't know what you meant.
 9
              (Juror present.)
              THE COURT: Have a seat, please. I'm going to refer
10
11
    to you as , if I can.
12
              We all know your name, but I have made a point of
13
    keeping these names under seal for your privacy.
14
              And now, have you made -- made any comments that
15
    would suggest that you've made up your mind in this case or --
16
              THE JUROR: No, I don't think so.
17
              THE COURT: Or any comments about the nature or
18
    weight of the evidence?
19
              THE JUROR: No.
20
              THE COURT: The reason I ask is, that there is some
21
    indication that -- coming from the court security officer --
22
              THE JUROR: That I made such a comment?
23
              THE COURT: No. That the comment that you may have
24
    made is that the defendant has not presented any evidence and
25
    that you were unimpressed by the defendant's --
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  30
 1
              THE JUROR: No, I just said it would be -- I think I
 2
    said, "It would be a tough job" is all I said.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Tell me what you said.
 4
              THE JUROR: I was just saying it would be tough to
 5
    be a defense lawyer. That's all.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. And anything other than
 7
    that?
 8
              THE JUROR:
                          No.
 9
              THE COURT: Now, at the beginning of the case, of
10
    course, I told you to keep an open mind until the end of the
11
    case.
12
              THE JUROR: I am trying to do that, sir.
13
              THE COURT: Are you succeeding?
14
              THE JUROR: Yes, I think so.
15
              THE COURT: Do you understand that the defendant has
    the benefit of the presumption of innocence?
16
17
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
18
              THE COURT:
                         And that applies to the defendant all
19
    the way up until a jury makes a decision one way or the other?
20
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
21
              THE COURT: Are you -- do you feel you're able to
22
    give effect to the presumption of innocence?
23
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Wait right here, if you
25
    would, please. And I will -- I'll be right back.
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  31
              Do you have anything, a glass of water or anything;
 1
 2
    would you like something?
 3
              THE JUROR: No, I'm okay.
 4
              THE COURT: You're sure?
 5
              THE JUROR: Yeah.
              THE COURT: Because I want you to relax entirely.
 6
 7
              THE JUROR: Thank you, sir.
 8
              (Juror not present.)
 9
              (Discussion between the Court and counsel.)
10
              THE COURT: It's entirely predictable that she has
11
    essentially made only a statement about the difficulty of the
12
    defendant proving the case. I have not put to her the word --
13
    the statement and the words that were reported. I'm prepared
    to do that. But if she says, "no," and if she is prepared to
14
15
    give effect to the presumption of innocence, and if she's
    prepared to follow the instructions that I've already given, I
16
17
    don't know what else can be done.
18
              The Government feel otherwise?
19
              MR. ANDRES: No, Your Honor.
20
              MR. WESTLING: Your Honor, I think -- we would ask
21
    Your Honor is that you ask the question --
22
              THE COURT: Ask what question?
23
              MR. WESTLING: That you ask the question you intend
24
    to ask, which is to follow-up about the specific statement.
25
              THE COURT: Yes.
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 32
 1
              MR. WESTLING: And that in addition to those
 2
    instructions, we'd ask that you ask her if she understands
 3
    that the defendant has no burden whatsoever to put up any
 4
    evidence. And that we would like to briefly confer with you
 5
    once those questions has been answered, if that's okay.
 6
              THE COURT: Yes. I'll consult with all of you about
 7
    that, because you want to hear the answers before you decide
 8
    whether anything else.
 9
              MR. WESTLING: That's correct, Your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: All right.
11
              (Open conference.)
12
              (Juror present.)
13
              THE COURT:
                             , I prefer to use your name.
              THE JUROR: Okay. Thank you.
14
15
              THE COURT: In the remark that you made, you've told
    me something about the difficulty that the defense has, am I
16
17
    right?
18
              THE JUROR: Yes.
19
              THE COURT: Did you make a remark or in words like
20
    this or close to it that "The defendant has not presented any
21
    evidence and I am unimpressed"?
22
              THE JUROR: I don't recall, but I mean -- what I
23
    meant was that it would be really hard to have to defend
24
    against that.
25
              THE COURT: All right. You understand that the
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                33
 1
    defendant is not required to present any evidence to prove his
 2
    innocence?
 3
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
              THE COURT: He has the right to do so, but he's not
 4
 5
    required to do so. Do you understand that?
 6
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 7
              THE COURT: And do you understand that he has the
    presumption of innocence that accompanies him?
 8
 9
              In other words --
10
              THE JUROR: Yes.
11
              THE COURT: In other words, you cannot make up your
12
    mind until all the evidence is in.
13
              Are you prepared to do that?
14
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
15
                             . I mean your job is
    to wait until all the evidence is in to try to analyze it.
16
17
              THE COURT: All right. All right.
18
              All right. Let me come down to see if there's
19
    anything further.
              (Juror not present.)
20
21
              (Discussion between the Court and counsel.)
22
              THE COURT: Just a moment. Anything further?
23
              MR. ANDRES: No, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: Anything further from you?
25
              MR. WESTLING: The only follow-up we would ask, Your
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                34
 1
    Honor, is that we inquire to the other juror about other
 2
    comments being made in the room. We ask that you inquire the
 3
    same thing of her.
              THE COURT: All right. I can do that. And then I'm
 4
    going to be -- you can be thinking about it, because I intend
 5
 6
    either now or at the luncheon recess to remind the jurors
 7
    forcefully that they can't make up their minds, they can't
    discuss it among themselves or with anyone and they should
 8
9
    avoid any comments that could be misconstrued as -- well, they
10
    should avoid any comments on the evidence, period. And, yes?
              MR. WESTLING: Your Honor. Just specifically
11
12
    recalling what the other witness or juror had said was about
13
    similar comments and ones that related to politics, we would
14
    like that inquired.
15
              (Open conference.)
16
              (Juror present.)
17
              THE COURT: Yes, let me assure you by
18
    the way that the jury list is maintained confidential. We're
19
    only using numbers. Nobody is going to find out who is.
20
    I'm just using your name here, because I'm more comfortable
    referring to you as a person, not a number.
21
22
              THE JUROR: I'm okay with numbers.
23
              THE COURT: Now, yes, you're a scientist.
24
              Now, have there been other comments by other jurors
25
    about the strength or weakness or anything about the evidence
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 35
 1
    in the case that you're aware of?
 2
              THE JUROR: Not that I'm aware of.
 3
              THE COURT: Has there been any comment or any
 4
    statement by any member of the jury about the relationship
    between this case and politics?
 5
 6
              THE JUROR: No, I don't believe so.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Let me confirm. You know
 8
    when I instructed you at the outset, I told you that the
9
    defendant had the presumption of innocence and that he was
    entitled to that and that the Government had the burden of
10
11
    proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and that the
12
    defendant had no burden to prove his innocence.
13
              Are you able to give effect to those instructions?
14
              THE JUROR: I believe so, sir.
15
              THE COURT: And I also instructed you not to discuss
16
    the matter with anyone or allow anyone to discuss it with you.
17
    Can you give effect to that?
18
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir, I did.
19
              THE COURT: And I want to confirm once again, have
20
    you formed any opinion about this case?
21
              THE JUROR: No, sir.
22
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I think Mr.
23
    Flood you can return to the jury room.
24
              What I'm going to do is to instruct the jury again
    of the importance of refraining from discussing the matter
25
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort—
                                                                 36
    among themselves or with anyone. That includes fellow jurors.
 1
 2
    I'm going to be very specific that they may not discuss it or
    make comments or do anything of that sort and that they should
 3
 4
    not make up -- they cannot make up their minds until they've
    heard all the evidence and been instructed by the Court, and
 5
    they retire and deliberate on the verdict. That's when you
 6
 7
    make up your mind.
 8
              I'm going to tell all the jurors that.
 9
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
              THE COURT: Now, you will be asked by other jurors
10
11
    what happened during this conference. Tell them that I've
12
    told you that you can't discuss it with them.
13
              THE JUROR: Okay.
14
              THE COURT: That make it easier for you?
15
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
16
              THE COURT: Good.
17
              All right. Mr. Flood, you may return to the
    jury room and we'll give you a few minutes for a soft drink.
18
19
    There should be some here. Thank you.
20
              THE JUROR: Thank you, sir.
21
              (Juror dismissed.)
22
              THE COURT: All right. Now, whether I do it at the
23
    luncheon recess or whether I do it now is a matter of some
24
    indifference to me. I don't think it makes much difference
25
    one way or the other.
```

	U.S. v. Manafort
1	Dut Ilvo gort of ghanged my view. I think Illl do it
	But I've sort of changed my view, I think I'll do it
2	if I do it now, it telegraphs to the audience what this was
3	all about.
4	The public will know, at some point, because I'm
5	going to I'm going to make this transcript a part of the
6	public record, but I'm going to require the deputy or the
7	court reporter not to transcribe it until we're done with the
8	case. And then anybody can see it who wants to see it.
9	But for now, more grist for the wild media mill. I
10	usually have a pretty thick skin about these things. And I
11	never read the newspapers. I gave up reading the newspapers
12	years ago.
13	But when I have friends and family members reporting
14	to me derogatory things said about me in the press, I'm I'm
15	not totally impervious to it because it's family members.
16	And so the less hoopla we make about this the
17	better. In the end, I don't care.
18	All right. Anything else to be accomplished in this
19	bench [sic] conference?
20	MR. ASONYE: One quick question, Your Honor.
21	When the transcript is transcribed from this
22	session, there were a couple of times when the first name of
23	the juror was used, will there be
24	THE COURT: Yes. Thank you for reminding me. That
25	should be blacked out. Entirely. And that's my mistake for

-U.S. v. Manafort-38 1 using it. Yes, sir. 2 MR. DOWNING: One other issue. We would like to 3 confer with our client to tell them what has transpired. THE COURT: Of course. 4 MR. DOWNING: And, Your Honor, we would also like a 5 6 little time to talk amongst ourselves as to whether or not we 7 want to brief something to the Court. And I think it would be a really good idea if we were to have a little time, because 8 we don't want to unnecessarily delay any of this. But, we 10 also I think there's a -- it's a pretty serious issue when one 11 juror is saying that these conversations are going on and the other juror said none of them are going on. And that -- that 12 13 raises a big concern for us, but we'd like a little time to 14 think about it instead of just blurting out argument. 15 THE COURT: It's 11 o'clock. We may not finish the Government's case today. No fault of the Government. 16 But we may not now finish the Government's case. 17 We 18 weren't going to finish the case anyway. 19 What would you suggest? 20 MR. DOWNING: I suggest if we can take a recess now 21 and reconvene when we would ordinarily reconvene at 1:30, and 22 I think we'll be in a very good position to tell the Court 23 what it is we think should or doesn't need to happen. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Andres. 25 MR. ANDRES: I don't want to interfere with the -Tonia M. Harris OCR-USDC/EDVA 703-646-1438-

-U.S. v. Manafort-39 1 defense's request. I'm happy to put on evidence now. We have 2 two witnesses. I think that we have two witnesses that will 3 take two hours tops. Obviously only on direct. We have two other witnesses that will take 15 minutes each tops. So we 4 have about -- we have about two-and-a-half hours if. If we 5 could at least get through all that evidence today, we 6 7 wouldn't have to have these two witnesses that are from out of town and wouldn't have to stay. I would like to do that at 8 9 some point. I don't care what the order is. 10 The only other point I would make, Your Honor, is --THE COURT: Well, if you're forecasting two hours 11 12 and 30 minutes, is what you're forecasting, that can easily be 13 accomplished in the afternoon session. 14 MR. ANDRES: That's just direct, but I agree. 15 THE COURT: There hasn't been lengthy cross-examination. 16 17 MR. ANDRES: No and --THE COURT: And I'm sure you're going to make an 18 19 effort, as I always encourage you to do, not to put on 20 cumulative evidence. Now, of course, reasonable people might differ as to whether something is cumulative. I haven't heard 21 22 any objections really very much. I have heard some cumulative 23 evidence. But I think you are making an effort not to present

-Tonia M. Harris OCR-USDC/EDVA 703-646-1438-

cumulative evidence. Whether you've succeeded perfectly or

24

25

not is open to argument.

-U.S. v. Manafort-40 But I ask you to focus hard on that in this 1 2 afternoon's session. 3 All right. So what I'll do is recess now to return 4 at 1:30 and then I will hear at 1:30 -- you're not going to present something in writing by 1:30. The Government might, 5 but you-all don't have that facility. 6 7 MR. DOWNING: We're just going to aim to present 8 something, Your Honor. But we take it as a serious issue. 9 THE COURT: Of course you do. 10 MR. DOWNING: So whatever we come back with, we're 11 going to try to have a very cogent discussion with the Court. 12 So we are prepared to do that. 13 THE COURT: All right. And then you'll be prepared, Mr. Andres, to put on your evidence in the most expeditious 14 15 way. 16 MR. ANDRES: Your Honor, just one -- and we can talk 17 to our colleagues about this. But if there are going to be 18 discussions or issues about the specifics of what these jurors 19 said, which I understand Mr. Downing --20 THE COURT: We're going to do that in here. 21 MR. ANDRES: No, I understand. My only point is if 22 Your Honor would consider having the transcript made, but 23 having it sealed, because again I think to the extent there 24 are issues of what they said, we would like to have a record 25 available to us at some point as opposed to us all relying on

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  41
 1
    a recollection.
 2
              THE COURT: Any problem with that? I mean the
 3
    only -- my only goal was not to have a side circus while the
    case is going on. And you-all agree with that I'm sure.
 4
 5
              MR. ANDRES: Absolutely.
 6
              MR. DOWNING: We do.
 7
              THE COURT: But I think you-all would also agree
 8
    that it must ultimately be in the public record and all I'm
9
    doing is delaying that while we get through this thing.
10
              And Mr. Andres request that we at least put it under
11
    seal and at least give the parties transcripts of it, I don't
12
    have a problem with.
13
              MR. DOWNING: We agree, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: We will do that then, Tonia. It will be
14
15
    under seal in the further order of the Court.
16
              Anything further? I'm going to go in. I'm going
17
    to -- we're going to call the case again and I'll -- and then
18
    I'll tell people we're recessing until 1:30 and I suppose I
19
    can tell them truthfully that I need the time to consider --
20
    well, I don't think I need to say anything but I am
    considering your instructions now. I think I'll say nothing.
21
22
              Thank you.
23
              MR. DOWNING: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: Be back in this room at 1 -- make it
25
    1:30 I'll recess until. I don't think it will take long for
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort—
                                                                 42
    me to hear what you have to say. So we'll -- we'll recess
 1
    until 1:45. We'll recess here until 1:30 and come back here
 2
 3
    at 1:30. All right. Thank you.
              THE CSO: Just one logistical thing. So at 12:30
 4
    the jurors' lunches will be brought in right outside here.
 5
    They'll be having lunch right out here.
 6
 7
              And they usually have from 12:30 to --
              THE COURT: All right. Let's change the venue for
 8
9
    this to my little library in chambers.
10
              But, there's a death penalty if you go beyond that
11
    area. In 31 years I don't allow counsel in my chambers. It's
12
    irrational. I admit that.
              The reason for it is that I practiced law for many
13
    years. I did what you-all did.
14
15
              And I was victimized and again and again and again
    by chamber practice. I grew to hate it. And I bear grudges.
16
17
    So I'm not giving that one up.
18
              Now, when the case is over and you want to come and
19
    say what a pleasure it was and how much fun you had, by all
20
    means, come and say hello to me.
21
              MR. ASONYE: Are we allowed? I understood we were
22
    not allowed to do that. It would be a pleasure to visit.
23
              THE COURT: When you leave the U.S. Attorney's
24
    office. All right. Thank you.
25
              (Court resumes in open court.)
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  43
 1
              THE COURT: All right. Bring the jury in, please.
 2
               (Jury present.)
 3
              THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
 4
              Well, a belated good morning to you ladies and
 5
    gentlemen. We will begin as always with the calling of the
 6
    roll, but I'm going to tell you now that I'm going to give you
 7
    the usual instructions and then recess the matter, because I
 8
    have some matters that I must attend to, but we are going to
 9
    continue with the evidence in this case in the afternoon and I
10
    expect that we will make progress in that regard.
11
              All right. Ms. Pham.
12
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen, as I call
    your number, please answer "present" or "here."
13
14
              Juror 00008.
15
              THE JUROR: Present.
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0037.
16
17
              THE JUROR: Here.
18
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0276.
19
              THE JUROR: Present.
20
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0017.
21
              THE JUROR: Present.
22
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0145.
23
              THE JUROR: Present.
24
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0115.
25
              THE JUROR: Present.
```

	U.S. v. Manafort
1	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0082.
2	THE JUROR: Present.
3	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0009.
4	THE JUROR: Present.
5	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0299.
6	THE JUROR: Present.
7	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0091.
8	THE JUROR: Present.
9	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0302.
10	THE JUROR: Present.
11	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0060.
12	THE JUROR: Present.
13	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0296.
14	THE JUROR: Present.
15	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0054.
16	THE JUROR: Present.
17	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror 0127.
18	THE JUROR: Present.
19	THE DEPUTY CLERK: And Juror 0133.
20	THE JUROR: Present.
21	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: All right. Again, good morning, ladies
23	and gentlemen, and thank you for your patience. I assure you
24	this is all necessary.
25	Now, I want to confirm once again that you have been
	Tonia M. Harris OCR-USDC/EDVA 703-646-1438

U.S. v. Manafort

45

able to adhere to the Court's instructions to refrain from

discussing the matter with anyone or undertaking any investigation?

THE JURY PANEL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you.

Now, let me underscore for a minute that it's very important that you not discuss the case with anyone. Among yourselves or with anyone else.

It's also important, as I instructed you at the outset, to keep an open mind until all the evidence is in. I also instructed you, as you recall, that the defendant has the presumption of innocence and is not required to present any evidence or to prove his innocence. That's the Government's burden to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

So keep an open mind until all the evidence is in and you've heard my instructions. And when you retire to the jury room, that's when, of course, you are required to make up your mind. If you -- and to reach agreement, if you can do so without violence to your individual judgment.

Now, what I'm going to do is take the luncheon recess early. And I'm going to do that because I have a lot of other matters that have been -- I don't know if you-all realized it or not, but I had two other matters this morning of some significance. A sentencing in another matter that was under seal.

```
-U.S. v. Manafort—
                                                                  46
              This case requires all of us to focus sharply on one
 1
 2
    case. And I do. But I don't forget that I have another 200,
 3
    300 cases that I have to keep moving. So we will reconvene at
    1:45.
 4
 5
              So you don't even have to eat your lunch here if you
    don't wish to. But what you must do is to refrain from
 6
 7
    discussing the case among yourselves or with anyone. Don't
 8
    make or listen to any comments about the case at all.
 9
              Thank you for your continued adherence to the
    Court's instructions and to your careful and close attention
10
11
    to the evidence.
12
              You may follow Mr. Flood out.
13
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
14
               (Jury dismissed.)
15
              THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? Court stands in
16
    recess.
17
               (Lunch recess at 11:12 a.m.)
18
               (Jury conference resumes in chambers at 1:36 p.m.)
19
    (Present: Judge, Law Clerk, Deputy Clerk, CSO, Court Reporter
20
    and attorneys - Andres, Asonye, Van Grack, Downing, Zehnle,
21
    and Westling.)
22
               (The judge enters the conference room.)
23
              THE COURT: Stay seated.
24
              Yes, sir. We're gathered here to add anything you
    want to add on behalf of the defendant after hearing what
25
```

-U.S. v. Manafort-

47

you've heard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WESTLING: That's correct, Your Honor. we've had a chance to talk amongst ourselves and talk with our client. Our concern, at this point, is that Juror No. expressed a number of comments about not just the comments made by the juror that we brought in to interview, but other discussions that have been going on over the last three or four days. And our concern about those is that they seem to be of a similar, although not qualitatively the same context, and we believe it's appropriate to inquire of additional jurors what has been happening in that regard. To the extent there are conversations, which have taken place that are in contradiction -- contradiction of the Court's instructions, and despite the fact that the jurors each day come in and tell the Court they have not done anything in violation of those instructions. It's serious concerns for Mr. Manafort and his right to a fair trial and we think additional inquiry is required in order for us to better understand what's been happening in this jury room.

THE COURT: What kind of additional inquiry do you propose?

MR. WESTLING: Well, Your Honor, I believe that

Juror indicated that there were some other jurors that

had been engaged in, what I would call, similar conduct. I

don't know a better way to put it. And so I -- the most

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 48
    narrow way to address that would be to try to figure out who
 1
 2
    those people are and inquire of those specific jurors.
 3
              THE COURT: Well, that's not a welcomed suggestion,
    because that involves kind of detective work that I don't
 4
 5
    think is appropriate or necessary. But, I do take your point
    that there was a statement today that we heard, I've forgotten
 6
 7
    from which of the two that there had been other comments.
 8
              How do you propose that I -- that I pursue that to
9
    get the information that you think may be there?
              MR. WESTLING: Well, I would propose, Your Honor
10
11
    that we would probably bring Juror back in and ask for
12
    some additional details. She may or may not have that. I
    can't answer that. But it seems to me that --
13
14
              THE COURT: Why would she be the one to bring back?
15
              MR. WESTLING: Well, I only think that she was the
16
    one who reported it in the first place.
17
              THE COURT: Oh, I'm getting them mixed up. You mean
18
    the first person?
19
              MR. WESTLING: The first person, Your Honor,
20
    correct. And so just to determine whether she can provide any
    additional detail about those involved and about the comments
21
22
    that were made. And it might be, Your Honor, that based on
23
    those additional questions there's no further inquiry. I
24
    can't answer that, but I think we --
25
              THE COURT: All right. Suppose I do that and
```

-U.S. v. Manafort-49 suppose I have her brought in and I ask her: Apart from the 1 2 comment that prompted you to make the request to the court 3 security officer, have you heard any other comments on the 4 evidence in this case from any other juror? And she says, "no," we're done, aren't we? 5 MR. WESTLING: I think that's right, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: And what we've heard thus far. 8 Let me ask you, you're not moving for a mistrial? 9 MR. WESTLING: Well, I think at this point, Your Honor, we'd like to have more information before we made that 10 11 decision. If that information was not forthcoming, I suspect 12 that we --13 THE COURT: Well, you have to suit yourself about that. I would call a mistrial if I felt one was necessary. 14 15 MR. WESTLING: Understood. 16 THE COURT: So you may draw whatever inference you 17 want from that. I certainly think it is necessary to remind 18 jurors of their obligation to give the defendant the benefit 19 of the presumption of innocence, to refrain from discussing 20 the matter among themselves or with anyone and to refrain from 21 making up their mind until they have heard the entire case. 22 Whatever that may be. 23 And that's about -- I've already done most of that. 24 That's about where I am. I'm trying to think, you could help 25 me just a little bit more. I'm reluctant to undertake a --

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 50
 1
    you'll pardon this, I can't resist it -- a special counsel's
 2
    investigation of the --
 3
              MR. WESTLING: Understood.
              THE COURT: -- of the jury's behavior in terms of
 4
    making comments. I don't think that's really necessary or
 5
    appropriate. But I should resist those temptations, but --
 6
 7
              MR. ASONYE: We're outside the presence of the jury,
    Your Honor.
 8
 9
              MR. DOWNING: Your Honor, might we confer. I don't
10
    want to violate your two lawyers --
11
              THE COURT: Yes.
12
               (A pause in the proceedings.)
13
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
              MR. WESTLING: Your Honor, I quess to focus a little
14
15
    more. What we had taken down in our notes was that she
16
    indicated that other jurors have made similar, but not as
    clear, comments as Juror
17
18
              The jurors have been talking about the case, which
19
    they're not suppose to be doing. And they're not taking sides
20
    per se, but there have been generalized comments indicating
21
    that the defense was weak.
22
              There have been other comments over the last four or
23
    five days and those remarks have come from around three other
24
    jurors. I don't know whether she can identify those folks by
25
    number, but I suspect she knows who they are. And based on
```

-U.S. v. Manafort-51 all of that, Your Honor, we think it's really important to 1 2 better understand what's happening, because if there are people who have already reached an opinion who have made 3 comments about what the evidence is amounting to at this 4 stage, they have clearly violated the Court's orders. And I 5 don't think a curative instruction can necessarily solve that 6 7 problem. THE COURT: Well, that's for you to decide what your 8 9 position is. 10 MR. WESTLING: Understood. 11 THE COURT: I'm satisfied at the moment that we're 12 all right. But I do think your request to seek additional 13 information, I should consider carefully. 14 But let me hear what the Government has to say about 15 it. MR. ANDRES: Your Honor, it's the Government's 16 17 position that these issues can be resolved by additional instructions to the entire jury whether that's right now, 18 19 which we don't have an objection to. 20 THE COURT: I did it right before lunch. 21 MR. ANDRES: Understood. And I -- two things: One, 22 the first juror seemed already somewhat startled by the fact 23 that she, in her comments to the court security officer, that 24 now everybody is going to know that it's her. Which is, I don't want to cause any other pressure on her. 25

-U.S. v. Manafort-

The second thing is that, at least as it related to the second juror that came in, the comments or the concerns that the first juror had didn't really bear out. That is to say that she didn't -- whether she admitted to what she said or not. She clearly said that she hadn't formed an opinion and that she was going to follow the Court's instructions and the like.

So, the Government is satisfied with the process that Your Honor has conducted so far and it's -- it would be our position that we shouldn't do any further inquiry of the jury.

THE COURT: All right. But there was -- there was some statement by the second juror that there had been other comments.

MR. ASONYE: The first juror, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The first juror, I'm sorry, you're correct. The first juror.

And the only thing I'm focussed on right now is: Do those comments warrant any further inquiry? That's what -- what the defense is asking for. That's all I'm interested in at the moment.

Do you see any reason why I shouldn't do that?

MR. ANDRES: I don't think you should do it for the reason Your Honor suggested, which is we then have to investigate who those other jurors are. It wasn't clear that

-U.S. v. Manafort-

the -- that the first juror knew who they were.

And what she also said was that the comments were not of the same ilk, if you will, of Juror that she clearly identified Juror as the one who had made the comment that was most concerning to her. And even that, haven spoken to her, doesn't appear to rise to the level of a concern or shouldn't. So, it's the Government's position there's really not a basis to do this inquiry and that Your Honor's instruction prior to lunch was sufficient to -- to satisfy that.

I will say that this other issue about whether the jurors are on a wholesale violating the Court's order. I think what Your Honor said, I may be wrong, but as I hear it, at the end of the day Your Honor, it talks about whether the jurors are talking to other people. That is, their family and the instructions not to deal with anyone and forget about the case. I don't think that each day when they come in they -- they've complied with each -- it's not my understanding that Your Honor is asking whether they've complied with each and every instruction that the Court gave, but rather whether overnight they've not talked about the case with anyone outside the courthouse.

THE COURT: I instruct them that they're not to discuss the matter with anyone, put it out of their minds, and that they're not to undertake any investigation. And I think

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  54
 1
    clearly that means they're not to talk to anybody.
 2
              I don't -- I'm not sure that I say on each occasion
 3
    you can't talk among yourselves or with anyone. I do that
 4
    during the day because they're together. And when they appear
    the next morning, they all confirm, so far unanimously, that
 5
    they have adhered to that instruction.
 6
 7
              Do you see a problem with that?
              MR. ANDRES: Not at all.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Let's focus on what's before me, which
    is whether one juror, the first one who came, said that there
10
    had been other comments, whether I should pursue that or not
11
12
    by calling that juror back and asking her what other comments.
13
    I think at the time I may have asked something close to that.
              Tonia, can you find that?
14
15
               (A pause in the proceedings.)
              THE COURT: All right.
16
17
               (As read): "I think you made a statement that he
    remembers --
18
19
               "He" referring to the court security officer.
20
               (As read): "If it's wrong, you tell me. He
    remembers that you said something like: 'A juror said that
21
22
    the defendant hadn't presented any evidence and I'm
23
    unimpressed.'"
24
              The juror then says, "Not exactly."
25
              And then I say, "Tell me exactly."
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  55
 1
              The juror answered (as read): "What was said was,
    'I don't believe that the defense has' -- let me word this
 2
 3
    properly -- not has much of a case, but that they don't have
 4
    much to present or to refute what's already occurred.' Does
 5
    that make sense?"
 6
              Then I ask (as read): "So what did you understand
 7
    this other juror to say? And I'm going to ask you to identify
    by number this other juror.
 8
 9
               "Okay. Basically my understanding was that she had
    essentially made up her mind regarding the case based on the
10
    information presented to her thus far and I did remind her
11
12
    that we have not heard from or what she said was they
13
    didn't -- they couldn't have much to present. And I reminded
    her that we have not heard from everyone in this case and that
14
15
    we needed, of course, to keep an open mind."
16
              We needed, of course, keep an open mind. I'm sure
17
    she meant the infinitive "to keep an open mind."
18
               (As read): "It is our responsibility as a jury to
19
    hear everything and then reach our conclusion."
20
              And then I said (as read): "Have you heard this
21
    juror or any other jurors make similar statements?
22
              "Yes, sir.
23
               "You have?
24
               "Yes, sir.
25
              "More than one?
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  56
 1
               "Yes, sir. Not necessarily for one side or the
 2
    other, but just talking too much about the case. Let's put it
 3
    that way.
               "All right. Who, by number, if you know, if you
 4
    know by name, everyone here knows the name, but we're
 5
 6
    proceeding publically by number.
 7
              Who was the juror you overheard make the remark that
 8
    caused you to report or to ask the court security officer to
9
    have the Court reinstruct the jury?
10
               "That was
11
              And then a little further down, and he [sic] says:
12
               (As read): "We were alone in the room. So no one
    else heard that remark."
13
14
              And she says (as read): "No one else heard. . . Not
15
    that particular remark.
               "Have other jurors made similar remarks?
16
               "ANSWER: Similar, but not as -- not as clear as that
17
18
    was made to me.
19
               "By "clear" what do you mean?
              "She. . ."
20
21
              Meaning the one that did make the statement.
22
               (As read): ". . . made it very clear that she didn't
23
    feel the defense really had anything to offer upcoming for the
24
    rest of the trial. Like I said, I reminded her that, 'Well,
25
    we haven't heard from --' I said, 'Of course they have a lot
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  57
 1
    to present. This is what a trial is all about. And it's our
 2
    responsibility to listen to everybody. And then, when we're
 3
    in deliberations then we decide to form our judgments and use
    all the information given to us.'"
 4
 5
              That seems to be all.
 6
              MR. WESTLING: Your Honor, I think after you
 7
    conferred with counsel you did ask her some additional
 8
    questions about the other comments.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Yes. That's correct.
               (As read): "I'm interested in whether there were
10
11
    other remarks of similar nature at any time during the course
12
    of the case?
              "JUROR: They're talking. I mean they're just
13
    making general remarks of -- I mean I don't feel anybody -- I
14
15
    don't feel that anybody is taking sides per se. Some
16
    mentioned, you know, well, and this was a couple of days ago,
    how they thought that the defense was weak. And, of course, I
17
18
    said, 'Well, you really can't say things like that.
                                                          I don't
19
    think that's appropriate.' So it's small things like that.
              "So there have been other comments?
20
21
              "Yes.
22
              "About the evidence in the case?
23
              "Yes."
24
              And she then said that would be over the last four
25
    or five days. And she said that -- I asked her, "How many
```

-U.S. v. Manafort-58 1 jurors?" And she said, "Like three total." I think that's it. 2 3 MR. WESTLING: Your Honor, I think our request that we find out who those three are and find out what's been said. 4 5 MR. ANDRES: We don't think that's necessary, Judge, in light of the comments. 6 7 (A pause in the proceedings.) 8 THE COURT: I think what I might do is to have this 9 juror return, to remind her what she said, namely that the 10 three jurors have made other comments about the evidence, and 11 ask her to tell me what those other comments have been. And 12 that's it. That will give you information. You can do as you 13 wish on the basis of that. Of course, I have the power, indeed the responsibility, to declare a mistrial if I believe 14 15 there is a fundamental flaw in the proceedings. 16 I don't. 17 But I'm prepared to be educated to the contrary if you -- if this further inquiry discloses any facts or if you 18 19 think I'm wrong. 20 I'm inclined to have that one juror, the first one back and to ask her about these three others what she 21 22 remembers their saying. 23 Now, this isn't going to be an interrogation where I 24 put the thumb screwers to her and water board her or anything 25 like that. I'm going to ask her gently about these other

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 59
 1
    three she said she heard and what, if anything, she can
 2
    remember they said. And I think we will proceed on that
 3
    basis.
              MR. VAN GRACK: Your Honor, may I violate your rule?
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Yes.
 6
              MR. VAN GRACK: I had a recollection that after she
 7
    said "three total" she may have provided an additional detail
 8
    or two. And I wanted to inquire if you could just review
9
    after she says "three total."
10
              THE COURT: Yes, I can do that.
11
              (A pause in the proceedings.)
12
              (As read): "Can you identify by number the name of
13
    the person who made the comment that you told me about today?
14
              "Yes. Her name is. . ."
15
              And you're getting rid of that number entirely.
16
              (Court reporter clarification.)
17
              THE COURT: (As read): "Do you know a number?
                , I believe."
18
19
              The juror goes on to say: (As read): "And I just,
20
    you know, the other ones. . . "
21
              Which is what you were asking about.
22
              (As read): "The other ones were just like or were
23
    just kind of like, you know, shut them down and you say to
24
    people we're not discussing the case. Do not do this. We're
25
    not doing this. And pretty much they listened. They're okay.
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  60
 1
    They're fine. They don't pursue it. And yesterday we had --
 2
    when we were in and somebody brought up something political,
 3
    and I said 'we're not doing this.'
              "And they said, 'Well this is not a political case.'
 4
              "I said, it doesn't matter. Just stop, because I
 5
 6
              We're not doing this. It's not appropriate.
 7
              "But yesterday I felt that crossed the line. The
 8
    others I felt were at least, you know, they hadn't made a
9
    judgment per se, but this. . ."
10
              And she's now talking about the comment that
11
    prompted all of this.
12
              (As read): "But this, this I felt like someone had
13
    made a judgment and whether that's true or not I don't know,
    but I felt compelled enough to speak with Mr. Flood about it
14
15
    because I felt that -- that was inappropriate."
16
              I think that's what you're recalling.
17
              MR. VAN GRACK: I think that's correct, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Did you want --
18
19
              MR. VAN GRACK: I just wanted you to have all the
20
    information in terms of what that juror said before you make
21
    the decision as to whether to call that juror back. That's
22
    all.
23
              THE COURT: Well, does that -- how do you think that
24
    reflects on whether I should call the juror back?
25
              MR. VAN GRACK: Yes, Your Honor, two things. I also
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  61
    thought I had a recollection that the individual wasn't able
 1
 2
    to identify those jurors by number.
 3
              THE COURT: That's right.
 4
              MR. VAN GRACK: And so I thought that in part of her
    answer to you she had indicated I know juror _____, but she
 5
 6
    didn't have a specific recollection and if she had said that.
 7
              THE COURT: So what you're saying is don't call her
    back because it's futile?
 8
 9
              MR. VAN GRACK: She's already answered your
10
    question, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: Well, she hasn't, but you're suggesting
12
    that if I ask her -- ask her further questions, it will be
    futile?
13
14
              MR. VAN GRACK: Yes, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: What's your view?
              MR. WESTLING: Well, I don't think we know until we
16
    ask, Your Honor. And I think given the record it begs
17
18
    inquiry.
              THE COURT: What he's saying is futile is where she
19
20
    says --
21
               (A pause in the proceedings.)
22
              THE COURT: I think there's something to the
23
    futility of this. She said that they said -- that she said
24
    it's not a political case -- she and I were both wrong about
25
    that. Of course it has political ramifications. But it isn't
```

-U.S. v. Manafort-

a political case. It's a bank fraud and tax evasion. Like so many others. Does it have implications for the political world? Obviously far more than I anticipated. It does. But it isn't a political case. And I'm sure you-all -- well, I will not permit it to become more of one than it is.

I've precluded the Government -- I don't think the Government ever seriously intended to ask about Russian collusion, but I've precluded it anyway. And it's none of my business in this case.

All right. She clearly said in her statement that this -- this statement that has brought us here this morning is the one that she thought crossed the line. The others she think did not cross the line.

MR. WESTLING: Because I think her judgment on that isn't the issue. The issue is we know they occurred so they crossed line. There's a specific instruction not to do what the jurors are doing. And so inquiring into those events it seems to us is critical particularly given that qualitatively they've included a general sense that the defense is weak. So that's more than a simple comment on a piece of evidence, but on the whole case itself. And if we've got a jury that's in essence made up its mind in any way it seems to me we've got to figure that out before we let them go out and deliberate.

THE COURT: Well, you can figure that out. I don't

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 63
 1
    see convincing evidence of that at this time.
 2
              What I will do is I will ask her that further
 3
    question. And I'll refresh her recollection by what she said
    earlier. That's only fair to her.
 4
 5
              MR. WESTLING: Understood.
 6
              THE COURT: I will tell her that she said she heard
 7
    from three other people comments, but she didn't think those
    comments crossed the line. And I'll ask her if she remembers
 8
9
    what those comments were. And if she does, fine, if she
10
    doesn't, I'm done.
11
              MR. WESTLING: The only other thing we'd ask, Your
12
    Honor, is we find out what this political discussion was.
              THE COURT: I don't think she said -- let me see.
13
    Maybe she did. Where is 21?
14
15
              (A pause in the proceedings.)
              THE COURT: (As read): "And yesterday, when we were
16
    in, and somebody brought up something political."
17
18
              Not a political discussion. "Something political."
19
    And what is it you want to know?
20
              MR. WESTLING: We want to know what it was.
21
              THE COURT: No. I'm not going to do that. I am
22
    simply going to ask whether -- what these other comments were.
23
              I'm not going to do anything that really exacerbates
24
    the political aspect of this.
25
              MR. WESTLING: Understood, Your Honor.
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 64
 1
              THE COURT: All right. Scott, would you ask Mr.
 2
    Flood -- I guess we can bring her -- that's pretty bad to
 3
    bring her -- I guess we've -- we haven't opened the court.
              THE CSO: Court is open, sir. I opened it at 1:30.
 4
    I can bring her through your chamber door.
 5
              THE COURT: Yes, but then you have to bring her
 6
 7
    through the courtroom.
              THE CSO: No, no, no. Through the lobby through the
 8
9
    front door of your chambers.
10
              THE COURT: All right. And you can open
11
    the chambers door. Bring her in and we'll be just a few
12
    minutes. And then we'll get started.
13
              THE CSO: All right.
              (Discussion off the record.)
14
15
              (Juror present.)
              THE COURT: Did you have enough time to have lunch?
16
17
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
18
              THE COURT: Come on in. Have a seat right here.
                                                                Ι
19
    don't want you to be the slightest bit nervous.
20
              THE JUROR: I'm very nervous, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: I know you are, but you shouldn't be
22
    because you have done nothing wrong. Am I clear?
23
              THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
24
              THE COURT: Now, I just wanted to ask you a further
25
    question.
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 65
 1
              THE JUROR:
                         Yes.
 2
              THE COURT: In your remarks this morning I asked you
 3
    about other comments. And in your responses you said over the
 4
    last few days there had been three.
 5
              THE JUROR: Approximately.
 6
              THE COURT: Approximately. Can you remember at all
 7
    what those comments were?
 8
              THE JUROR: The one was the -- responses that --
9
    "the defense was weak" shall we say. And the others it's just
10
    been, you know, not necessarily for one side or the other, but
11
    just generally talking about, you know, things that they've
12
    heard and just -- just too much chatter about the case itself.
13
              THE COURT: Do you remember anything else specific?
14
              THE JUROR: No. Off the top of my head. I didn't
15
    write things down.
16
              THE COURT: All right. Just a moment.
              (Juror not present.)
17
18
              (Discussion between the Court and counsel.)
19
              THE COURT: Anything else?
20
              MR. WESTLING: Your Honor, who was the one who made
21
    the statement that it was weak, and if the --
22
              THE COURT: That's the same one we've heard.
23
              MR. WESTLING: I don't think it is, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: I think it is, but I'll confirm that.
25
              (Open conference.)
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                 66
 1
              (Juror present.)
 2
              THE COURT: Your reference to someone saying that --
 3
    something that the case was weak or what was it?
              THE JUROR: Yes, "the defense was weak."
 4
 5
              THE COURT: The defense was weak. Is that the same
    person that you've identified this morning?
 6
 7
              THE JUROR: It was not, but I was -- we were in a
    group in the smaller room and I -- honest, I couldn't -- all I
 8
9
    know it was a male. That's the only thing I can tell you.
    I -- I just heard it and "no, we cannot -- we cannot make
10
11
    these distinctions."
12
              THE COURT: All right. I think that's sufficient.
13
    All right. Mr. Flood is -- Scott would you take her back,
14
    please and hand her off to Mr. Flood? Thank you.
15
              THE JUROR: Thank you so much.
16
              THE COURT: Don't be concerned.
17
              THE JUROR: Okay. Thank you.
              (Juror dismissed.)
18
19
              THE COURT: All right. Anything further?
20
              MR. WESTLING: Well, Your Honor, I guess the first
    thing I would say is I would like you to talk to the jurors to
21
22
    determine who made that comment. I know your answer, but I
23
    need to say it for the record.
24
              THE COURT: All right. And your forecast is
25
    accurate.
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  67
              MR. WESTLING: And I think based on the record that
 1
 2
    we have before us, Your Honor, we would move for a mistrial.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. What I'll let you do is I'll
 4
    let you brief that, but I'm not going to declare a mistrial at
    this time. You may move for one, but you're going to have do
 5
    to do it with muscle.
 6
 7
              MR. WESTLING: Understood.
 8
              THE COURT: And you-all should be prepared to reply.
9
    Of course, I haven't decided the issue, but I can tell you, as
    I've told you before, I have an independent responsibility to
10
11
    declare a mistrial if I see facts and circumstances that arise
12
    that warrant that. I don't see those yet. Or maybe at all.
13
    That's up to you to persuade me.
14
              MR. WESTLING: Understood.
15
              THE COURT: Anything further from the Government?
16
              MR. ANDRES: No, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Or from the defendant?
18
              MR. WESTLING: One other question, just the
19
    procedure on that.
20
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
21
              MR. WESTLING: Would you like us to just
22
    electronically file those briefs?
23
              THE COURT: Sure.
24
              MR. WESTLING: I'm concerned about this in the mix
25
    of all --
```

-U.S. v. Manafort-68 1 THE COURT: Oh. 2 MR. WESTLING: -- that's going on. So I just raise 3 it for the Court's consideration. THE COURT: Why don't you file it. All of this must 4 be in the public record, ultimately. It should be. 5 important that it be. Because the result reached here has to 6 7 be subject to public scrutiny and criticism. 8 I know about that. I don't care about criticizing 9 I have pretty thick skin. Unfortunately, some of my 10 family members have less than thick skin. But that's their 11 problem and mine. 12 But anyway, it will all be in the public record. 13 The issue is when it gets there. And the reason I have put 14 this under seal thus far, is that it doesn't do any good to 15 have it disrupt the trial. So I would propose that we keep 16 this under seal until the end of the case. But I'll be happy 17 to listen further. If you-all want it in the public record 18 now, I'll carefully consider that. 19 What's your view? 20 Now, you know, if you put it in the public record 21 everything goes in the public record, except for names, but 22 that includes what I've said. 23 MR. DOWNING: Your Honor, just in terms of being 24 able to move this along. We don't have any problem filing 25 under seal. And if we think there's a reason it shouldn't be

-U.S. v. Manafort-69 1 under seal, we can include that in our filing. 2 THE COURT: All right. What's your view, Mr. 3 Andres? 4 MR. ANDRES: My initial view is that I don't have an issue with it. But I think I really have to consult with my 5 6 office about it. And what I can do is be in touch with 7 defense counsel before the end of the day or certainly before 8 they file, to the extent we have a view other than, that it should be filed under seal. That is, if we oppose their 10 motion to file under seal, we'll let the defense know. 11 THE COURT: Well, as you know I've taken steps 12 during this whole aspect of this proceeding, during this whole 13 matter, to take pains to avoid having even the public 14 appearance of this dispute in this event, because I've put it 15 temporarily under seal. I've done it in this room which --16 well other lawyers have been in here, but I actually had a 17 child -- I actually had a child kidnapping case. Not a --18 where a husband and wife were fighting to take the child to 19 another country and keep it there. And I had it all in here 20 because the child was terrified of the courtroom and I had to 21 hear from the child. 22 But other than that, I don't do this. And I did 23 this because I thought it was best for the progress of getting 24 this case done for the time being to keep it under seal, but 25 the public must know about it as quickly as we can and I would

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  70
    anticipate that, you know, after oral argument, probably I
 1
 2
    will tell the court reporter she can docket all this.
 3
              Anybody have any problem with that?
 4
              MR. DOWNING: No, again, I would just like to have
    time if we do have a problem, we'll put it in our motion. But
 5
 6
    we'll file --
 7
              MR. ANDRES: I don't anticipate an issue, but given
    the sensitivities that I should really check with the office,
 8
9
    but I understand Your Honor's viewpoint and that this is rare
    that we're here and that --
10
11
              THE COURT: Yes, but I wanted to be more specific.
12
    I didn't state it well.
13
              Do you have any objection to my keeping it under
    wraps at this time and what I've done so far?
14
15
              MR. ANDRES: No, Your Honor.
16
              MR. DOWNING: No.
17
              THE COURT: That's what I was really aiming for.
18
              All right. Let's see if we can get more of this
19
    case tried as promptly as possible.
20
              And also, I'll be clear about this, I do not agree
    with gag orders on lawyers. If something is under seal, it's
21
22
    under seal and that takes care of it. But no lawyer here is
23
    under any obligation not to speak about this case to the press
24
    or anything like that. I don't believe in gag orders for
25
    lawyers.
```

```
-U.S. v. Manafort-
                                                                  71
1
              If that is something that the defendant or the
2
    Government thinks is something I should consider, reconsider
 3
    in this case, let me know. But lawyers have good judgment and
    lawyers typically -- when I put something under seal, of
 4
 5
    course, you can't talk about it. But that wouldn't preclude
 6
    you from other things. And I don't want there to be any
 7
    misunderstanding. I'm not gagging any lawyers.
 8
              MR. ANDRES: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
              MR. WESTLING: Thank you.
10
               (Conference concluded at 2:20 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Tonia Harris, an Official Court Reporter for the Eastern District of Virginia, do hereby certify that I reported by machine shorthand, in my official capacity, the proceedings had and testimony adduced upon the Court Conference in the case of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA versus PAUL J. MANAFORT, JR., Criminal Action No. 1:18-CR-83, in said court on the 10th day of August, 2018. I further certify that the foregoing 72 pages is a true and correct transcript of the record of proceedings and incorporating redactions of personal identifiers. Redacted characters appear as a "blackout block" in the transcript. In witness whereof, I have hereto subscribed my name, this August 22, 2018. Tonia M. Harris, RPR Official Court Reporter